

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/866,520	0	5/25/2001	Paul Coleman	CTX-072	4218
959	7590	12/14/2004		EXAMINER	
LAHIVE & COCKFIELD, LLP. 28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			BENGZON, GREG C		
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2144	2144	

DATE MAILED: 12/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edencino of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.736(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filled If the period for reply specified above its inex but nitivy (20) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above, the meanine statutory period shappy and viter for long is \$10,000 for reply very self-ded above, the meanine statutory period shappy and viter for long is \$10,000 for reply very will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above, the meanine statutory period shappy and viter for long is \$10,000 for reply very will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above, the meanine statutory period shappy and viter for long is \$10,000 for reply very will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above, the meanine statutory period shappy and viter for long is \$10,000 for reply very will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above, the meanine statutory period shappy and viter for long is \$10,000 for reply specified above, the meaning date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any extended provided to \$10,000 for reply specified above, the second provided is \$10,000 for reply specified above, the meaning date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any extended provided to \$10,000 for reply specified and provided is \$10,000 for reply specified above, the meaning date of this communication. It is part to specification is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$2,000 for for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$2,000 for for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under \$1,000 for for for for for for f	/	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Greg Bengzon 2144	·	09/866,520	COLEMAN ET AL.					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edatacions of use many be available under the provision of 3.7 CPR 1.136(a). In so event, however, may a ripply be timply filed If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum of 3.7 CPR 1.136(a). In so event, however, may a ripply be timply filed If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum of 3.7 CPR 1.136(a). In so event, however, may a ripply be timply filed If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum of 3.7 CPR 1.136(a). In so event, however, may a ripply be timply filed If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statency period unlay have divide sets (2) MONTHS filed from the mailing date of this communication. Any neph received by the Office later than these months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seamed patent term adjustment. Set 3.7 CPR 1.704(b). Status 1) ■ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2001 2a) ■ This action is FINAL. 2b) ■ This action is non-final. 3) ■ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ■ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed. 5] ■ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed. 6] ■ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed. 6] ■ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed. 7) ■ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed. 8) ■ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are allowed. 9) ■ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ■ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) ■ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ■ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) ■ accepted or b) ■ objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ■ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pri	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. after SIX (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, because the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, because the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, because the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, because the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above, because the period of the period of the communication of the period above, because the period of the period of the period of the period above, because the period of the period of the period above, because the period of the period of the period above, because the period of the period of the period above, because the period of the period above, and the period of the period of the period above, because the period of the period of the period above, and the period of the period of the period above, and the period of the peri		Greg Bengzon	2144					
Estensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a roly be timely filled after \$1.60 (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If IVO period for rely is specified above, the maximum statistory period will apply and will exply and will e	Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 May 2001. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10○ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) between the properties of the properties of the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in his National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB08)	 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing 	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are elocted. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-92) 2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-92) 3) Horizontal Disclasure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Status							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Altachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disolocure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 M	ay 2001.						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)	2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.						
Al) ☐ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some *c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	,—							
4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Oratisperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosures Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) □ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) □ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	4) Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.		/					
5) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are rejected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Aktachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Oraftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	'							
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.							
9 ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date 10 ☐ Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•	•					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 May 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Application Papers							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.	•					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P1O-152.					
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	· ,— ,—							
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Sol ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	·							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	, 	•					
	3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal F						

Art Unit: 2144

DETAILED ACTION

This application has been examined. Claims 1-12 are pending.

Priority

This application claims benefits of priority from US provisional patent application 60/207532 filed May 26,2000 and 60/225217 filed August 14, 2000.

The effective date of the claims in this application is May 26, 2000.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted were filed after the mailing date of the application on May 25, 2001. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Objections

Claims 9 and 10 objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 9 and 10 refer to the method of Claim 7. However Claim 7 describes a system and not a method. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Art Unit: 2144

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 5-8, 11-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Deering (US Patent 6603470).

With respect to Claim 1, Deering discloses a method of efficiently reducing the amount of graphical data transmitted from a server to a client via a communications network (Figure 1), the method comprising the steps of: separating a path into a plurality of strips (Column 6 Lines 20-25), each of the plurality of strips having a strip length and an absolute angle associated therewith (Figure 14B Column 21 Lines 30-35); determining a quantized angle associated with the absolute angle for each of the plurality of strips forming a protocol stream at the server, the protocol stream including a beginning coordinate of the path and the strip length and an indicia of the quantized angle of each of the plurality of strips; and transmitting the protocol stream from the server to the client via the communications network. (Figure 14A Column 21 Lines 10-15, Figure 4I Column 13 Lines 45-50, Figure 5 Column 10 Lines 30-35)

With respect to Claim 2, Deering discloses the method of claim 1 further comprising the step of compressing the beginning coordinate of the path and the strip

Art Unit: 2144

length and the indicia of the quantized angle of each of the plurality of strips prior to transmitting the protocol stream to the client. (Figure 5 Column 14 Lines 40-65)

With respect to Claim 5, Deering discloses the method of claim 1 wherein the indicia of the quantized angle corresponds to a quantized angle delta. (Figure 14B Column 21 Lines 30-35)

With respect to Claim 6, Deering discloses a method of efficiently reducing the amount of graphical data transmitted from a server to a client via a communications network, the method comprising the steps of: separating a path into a plurality of strips, each of the plurality of strips having a beginning and an endpoint coordinate defined within a coordinate system, the coordinate system corresponding to a region of a display surface associated with the client; quantizing the coordinate system into a plurality of quantized angles; determining the endpoint coordinate of a first one of the plurality of strips; normalizing the endpoint coordinate of the first strip to correspond to the origin of the coordinate system; associating the endpoint coordinate of the first strip to a beginning coordinate of a second one of the plurality of strips; selecting one of the plurality of quantized angles of the coordinate system, the selected quantized angle corresponding to an approximate angle of the second strip; and transmitting a difference between the endpoint coordinates of the first and second strips and an indication of the quantized angle to the client. (Figure 1, Column 6 Lines 20-25, Figure 14A, Column

Art Unit: 2144

21 Lines 10-15, Figure 4I, Column 13 Lines 45-50, Figure 5 Column 10 Lines 30-35, Figure 14E Column 23 Lines 10-20, Column 14 Lines 40-55)

With respect to Claim 7,8,11 and 12, the applicant describes a system with essentially the same limitations as Claims 1,2, 5, and 6. Claim 7,8,11 and 12 are rejected on the same basis as Claims 1,2, 5, and 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deering (US Patent 6603470) in view of Hsieh et al. (US Patent 5883640), hereinafter referred to as Hsieh.

With respect to Claims 3 and 9, Deering discloses the method of Claim 1 and system of Claim 7 wherein the protocol stream includes an indicia associated with at

Art Unit: 2144

least one of the plurality of strips. (Figure 14A Column 21 Lines 10-15, Figure 4I Column 13 Lines 45-50, Figure 5 Column 10 Lines 30-35)

However Deering does not disclose the said protocol stream includes an indicia corresponding to an index identifying a location of the at least one of the plurality of strips within a cache memory coupled to the client.

Hsieh discloses of a method using string caching to improve the performance of the graphical user interface during the display of said strings, wherein an index identifies the location of a string in cache memory. A string is defined as a connected sequence of characters or bits treated as a single data item. As such, strings are also considered to be glyphs, wherein a glyph is defined as an image, usually of a character or graphic symbol having an appearance that conveys information. Hsieh discloses the use of a 12-bit pointer applied as an index into the cache pointer table, and applies a pointer at the indexed location of the cache pointer table to determine whether the input string is equivalently represented in the string cache memory. (Figure 4, Column 13 Lines 5-45, Column 14 Lines 5-45)

Deering and Hsieh are analogous art because they present concepts and practices regarding the acceleration of delivery and rendering of graphical data using cache memory. (See Deering Column 17 Lines 5-10) It is respectfully suggested that at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in

Art Unit: 2144

the art to combine the teachings of Hsieh regarding use of indices and pointers for location of data in cache memory into the system and method of Deering, such that said indices and pointers, once they are established, are included in the protocol stream. The suggested motivation for doing so would have been, as Hsieh suggests, to exploit the redundancy of displayed glyphs by allowing a single string request across a system bus activates the display of the entire string, including a display of selected attributes and characteristics. Hsieh discloses that the caching technique improves the performance during display of characters because rendering of the individual characters is avoided after the cache is initialized. (See Hsieh Column 1 Lines 50-65, Column 2 Lines 55-65) Since Deering has already indicated that the compressed data is to be stored in cache memory, it would be logical and obvious to include the index and location information in the protocol stream once they are established. By including the index and location information in the protocol stream, the client in Deering's system is able to determine whether the input string is equivalently represented in the string cache memory without unnecessary overhead operations, thereby helping the acceleration process.

Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Hsieh into the method and system of Deering in order to arrive at the invention described in Claims 3 and 9.

Art Unit: 2144

Claims 4 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Deering (US Patent 6603470) in view of Peterson (US Patent Publication 2003/0084052).

With respect to Claims 4 and 10, Deering discloses the method of Claim 1 and system of Claim 7 wherein the protocol stream includes an indicia associated with at least one of the plurality of strips. (Figure 14A Column 21 Lines 10-15, Figure 4I Column 13 Lines 45-50, Figure 5 Column 10 Lines 30-35)

However Deering does not disclose the said protocol stream including an indicia corresponding to a fuzzy key identifying a location of the at least one of the plurality of strips within a persistent storage memory coupled to the client.

Peterson discloses of a processing structure for locating and retrieving data in which the physical architecture of a distributed memory system parallels the visual or conceptual architecture of the logic structure. Peterson discloses of a fuzzy logic system that not only identify and classify but grade or weigh the information. Using a weighted, most recent use and frequency of use protocol for data packet worth evaluation, the location of a data packet in the memory structure or its residence in a data cache of a processor element can be effected by appropriate programming. Coupled with whatever value tag that accompanies the data packet to deliberately delay or accelerate the data packet throughput, an effective fuzzy control over the

Art Unit: 2144

throughput of information can be developed. (Page 1 Par. 002, Par. 006, Page 7 Par. 0085, Page 5 Par. 0070-0071, Page 6 Par. 0078)

Deering and Peterson are analogous art because they present concepts and practices regarding the acceleration of delivery and rendering of graphical data using cache memory. (See Deering Column 17 Lines 5-10) It is respectfully suggested that at the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine the teachings of Peterson regarding use of memory tags for location of data in cache memory into the system and method of Deering, such that said indices and pointers for the fuzzy control logic, once they are established, are included in the protocol stream. The motivation for doing so would have been, as Peterson suggests, so that data items are retrieved expeditiously given the massive quantities of multimedia information and repetitively used items. (See Peterson Par. 005) Since Deering has already indicated that the compressed data is to be stored in cache memory, it would be logical and obvious to include the index and location information in the protocol stream once they are established. By including the index and location information in the protocol stream, the client computer in Deering's system is able to determine, using the fuzzy control logic, whether the graphical data is equivalently represented in the cache memory without unnecessary overhead operations, thereby helping the acceleration process.

Therefore it would have been obvious to combine the teachings of Peterson into the method and system of Deering in order to arrive at the invention described in Claims 4 and 10.

Art Unit: 2144

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please refer to the enclosed PTO-892 form.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Greg Bengzon whose telephone number is (571) 272-3944. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. thru Fri. 8 AM - 4:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Cuchlinski can be reached on (571)272-3925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

gcb

WILLIAM A. CUCHLINSKI, JR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600